

INITIATION PLAN FOR A GEF PROJECT PREPARATION GRANT (PPG)

UNDAF Outcome(s): 3.3 Promoting a greater incorporation of strategies for conservation and the sustainable use of biodiversity in national development plans, in order to reverse the loss of biodiversity

CPAP Expected Outcome(s): Promoted strategies for conservation and the sustainable use of **biodiversity**

Note: The outputs and indicators will be designed during the mid-term review of the CPAP.

Programme Period: 2008-2012

Programme Component: Environment and

Sustainable Development

PPG Title: Enhancing the prevention, control, and

management of Invasive Alien Species in

vulnerable ecosystems in Cuba ATLAS Project ID: 00071327 PIMS Project ID: 3990 Duration: 20 months

Management Arrangement: DEX

Total budget:

\$192,727

Allocated resources (cash):

GEF

\$72,727

In kind contributions

Government \$120,000

AGREED BY UNDP RESIDENT REPRESENTATIVE / UNDP DIRECTOR:

(Enter Name, Position)

Susan McDade,

Resident Representative

Nuxan 4. M Dade.

Date: (Month, day, year)

12 July 2009.

A. Brief Description of Initiation Plan

The project preparation grant (PPG) supports the design of the full-sized project "Enhancing the prevention, control, and management of Invasive Alien Species in vulnerable ecosystems in Cuba".

The <u>Global environmental benefits</u> will be reaped by decreasing IAS threats to globally-important Cuban biodiversity in vulnerable ecosystems. Priority ecosystems have been selected as the target for intervention; namely Cuba's moist soils (among the most important of the Caribbean), the mountain scrub (which are as fragile as they are rich in terms of biodiversity), and some ecosystems of major economic importance (for example forestry, agriculture, fishery and tourism).

The project's <u>objective</u> is to safeguard globally-significant biodiversity in vulnerable ecosystems, by building capacity at the systemic level to prevent, detect, control, and manage the spread of IAS in Cuba. The project will achieve these goals through three main approaches: i) Strengthening the policy, legal and regulatory frameworks, and coordination mechanisms to prevent, detect, control, and manage the spread of IAS; ii) Enhancing stakeholder capacity, know-how, and communications for effective IAS prevention and management; and iii) Strengthening institutional capacities to ensure the effective implementation of prevention, detection and control of IAS to safeguard biodiversity.

The PPG will start in July 2009 and last until the end of February 2011. The major output of the PPG will be the full-sized project document, which will be attained through implementing four main activities: 1. Baseline data collection, information gap analysis necessary for elaborating an IAS control and management strategy; 2. Assessment of institutional, regulatory and legal framework for IAS management and control; 3. Enabling environment for a national IAS strategy and the implementation of IAS control and management plans; 4. Monitoring and evaluation strategy.

The work under the PPG will be conducted by a team of national consultants funded by the Cuban Government, and international consultant hired and supervised by UNDP CO and funded by GEF. In addition, the Cuban Government will provide a contribution to the PPG in the form of ensuring access to necessary expertise, information, facilities and equipment.

During the first month of the PPG, UNDP CO will prepare the detailed terms of reference for the recruitment of an international consultant, based on PPG request document and in agreement with the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment (CITMA) through the Center of Environmental Information, Management and Education (CIGEA). The team of national consultants as described in PPG Annex A, will be selected by CIGEA and communicated to UNDP including the designation of the team coordinator. The national team and the international consultant will jointly work to design the full size project proposal, while UNDP CO will facilitate and monitor this process in accordance with the agreed time schedule. Last two months of the PPG, UNDP CO together with CIGEA will review the full-sized project proposal and share it with the national authorities for final approval and submission to the GEF in February 2011 as latest.

B. GEF PPG approved grant document:



REQUEST FOR PROJECT PREPARATION GRANT

(PPG)

PROJECT TYPE: FULL-SIZE PROJECT THE GEF TRUST FUND

Submission date: April 6, 2009

GEF PROJECT ID¹:

GEF AGENCY PROJECT ID: 3990

COUNTRY: Cuba

PROJECT TITLE: Enhancing the prevention, control, and management of Invasive Alien Species

in vulnerable ecosystems in Cuba

GEF AGENCY: UNDP

OTHER EXECUTING PARTNER: Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment (CITMA),

Center of Information, Management and Environmental Education.

GEF FOCAL AREA: Biodiversity

GEF-4 STRATEGIC PROGRAM(s): BD-SP7-Invasive Alien Species (IAS) NAME OF PARENT/PROGRAM/UMBRELLA PROJECT (if applicable): NA

A. PROJECT PREPARATION TIMEFRAME

Start date of PPG	July 2009
Completion date of PPG	February 2011

B. PAST PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES (\$)

List of Past Project Preparation Activities	Output of the Activities	Project Preparation Amount (a)	Co-financing (b)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Total} \\ c = a + b \end{array} $
N/A				
Total Project Preparatio	n Financing	0	0	0

C. PROPOSED PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES (\$)

Describe the	PPG activities and justifications:			
List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PPG Activities	Project Prepara tion Amount (a)	Co- financing (b)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Total} \\ c = a + b \end{array} $
1. Baseline data collection, information	This activity will provide an overview of current IAS status and threats in Cuba, determine the key priority sites or species of concern in relation to IAS, and needs to develop and implement cost-effective and participatory control and management plans	37,000	30,000	67,000

Project ID number will be assigned by GEFSEC. If PIF has already been submitted, please use the same ID number as PIF.

Com on altrain	for th	The following activities will be one ducted.	<u> </u>	1	
gap analysis	1	em. The following activities will be conducted:			
necessary for	i.	Identification of global importance and native BD and			
elaborating		ecosystems directly and indirectly affected by IAS,			
an IAS	ii.	Characterization of these threats and impacts (magnitude,			
control and		tendency, root causes) and identification of the barriers to			
management	l	be leveraged,			
strategy	iii.	Review and assessment of past, on-going, and planned			
		initiatives related to IAS prevention and control to build			
	1	on lessons learned, avoid duplication and strengthen			
	1	partnerships and synergies.			
	iv.	Assessment of economic losses caused by IAS for			
	İ	different sectors and activities and at local, sectoral and			
		national levels, including losses of BD, degradation of			
		ecosystems and eco-systemic services, decrease of			
		agriculture production.			
	v.	Analysis of current spending on IAS control across			
		sectors and stakeholder groups, the effectiveness of			
	İ	prevention and control measures, the possibility to			
		involve these actors in the project and their readiness to			
		pay for a more cost effective system for prevention and			
		control of IAS.			
	vi.	Identification and classification of IAS to be monitored,			
	'	according to a set of criteria such as impact on native BD			
		and ecosystems, economic losses, ease of control, cost of			
		removal.			
	vii.	Assessment of IAS potential economic benefits, taking			
	`	into account the cost of elaborating and implementing an			
		effective mechanism to prevent uncontrolled spreads,			
		involving strategic productive sectors,			
	viii.	Identification and classification of valuable IAS, with			
	,	significant potential positive impact, and definition of the			
		condition of management and control.			
	ix.	Definition of priority ecosystems and selection of 7 zones			
	17.	to implement pilot IAS control or valuation projects			
		(demonstration project for knowledge and best practice			
		demonstration), with the active participation of a broad			
2.	This	range of stakeholders.	17 000	20.000	47.000
1		activity will conduct an in-depth assessment of the current	17,000	30,000	47,000
Assessment of		regulations and policies dedicated to IAS, and identify forward in filling these gaps either through strengthening			
institutional,		forcement of existing legislation or developing new legal			
regulatory		egulatory arrangements. Institutional context will also be			
and legal		sed to identify gaps and capacity building needs, in order to			
framework		ove IAS activities coordination and enhance their impact,			
for IAS	and	strengthen partnership and identify co-funding			
management	I	nitments from key stakeholders. The following activities			
and control		e conducted:			
	i.	Stakeholder mapping of key agencies, actors and			
		sectors impacting on/impacted by IAS, providing			
		details on mandates (roles, responsibilities) awareness,			
		capacities, specific communications and training gaps,			
		and implication/impacts vis-à-vis IAS.			
	ii.	Assessment of the regulatory and legal framework and			
		implementation, their efficiency for IAS control, the			
	;;;	potential gaps and needs for improvements,			
	iii.	Propose cost effective alternatives for improvements of			

		these regulatory and legal framework, and evaluate			
	iv.	their costs. Assessment of the institutional framework and the			
	1	coordination mechanism. Identify capacity needs at		l	
		systemic, institutional and individual levels.			
	v.	Propose cost effective alternatives for institutional and			
		operational improvements, including capacity building			
		to improve organizational and management skills, and			
		evaluate the cost of these alternatives.			
	vi.	Identify the needs of a national IAS coordination unit,			
		and then propose a structure including institutional arrangements, financial needs, and evaluate its impact			
		in term of improved coordination and enhanced			
		prevention and control of IAS.			
	vii.	Finalization of institutional arrangements to support			
		project implementation			
3. Enabling	i.	Assessment of capacity needs at the systemic,	9,727	30,000	39,727
environment		institutional and individual level, amongst all		,	
for a		stakeholders (UNDP capacity development scorecard),			
national IAS	l	and elaboration of a capacity building strategy			
strategy and	ii.	From the analysis, design of appropriate			
the		communications strategies for targeted audience.,			
implementati on of IAS		training plans, financing mechanisms and market-based instruments for IAS control			
control and	iii.	Development of an agreed upon FSP document			
management	111.	supported by key stakeholders through endorsement of			
plans		co-financing commitments for the project.			
	iv.	Preliminary engagement with corporate sector and			
		financial/economic planners on the economic and			
		financial impacts and linkages regarding IAS.			
	v.	Based on all the previous, elaboration of a national IAS			
	ļ	strategy and of cost effective mechanisms or			
		management plans to prevent and control IAS, based			
		on cost-benefit analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis of key measures to control IAS.			
4.	The PP	G will evaluate the robustness and effectiveness of	9,000	30,000	39,000
Monitoring		monitoring and evaluation systems (both government	7,000	50,000	37,000
and		n-government) as the basis for proactive adaptive			
evaluation		ment strategies to track project impacts and measure BD			ì
strategy	1 -	on trends over the long-term. In particular activities will			
	include:				
1	i.	Evaluation of current M&E systems used by the			
1		different stakeholders in Cuba to measure impacts of			
	ii.	IAS on native BD and BD of global importance; Development of key site-specific project indicators and			
	'''	monitoring strategy of BD benefits, including			
		environmental, economic, organizational, and			
		efficiency indicators;			
	iii.	Evaluation of how partner institutions account for			
}		biodiversity impacts and benefits in decision-making			
		and project implementation;			
	iv.	Validation of the set of outcomes, outputs and activities			
		based on a logical framework analysis, and the analysis			
		of the thematic studies including indicators and quantified targets;			
	v.	Formulation of project M&E plan, including baseline			
L	<u> </u>	project Meet plan, molading baseline			

analysis, indicators, methodologies and targets to traproject progress and effectiveness vi. Development of a cost-effectiveness analysis protocomous to identify optimal interventions and assess lessons learned; vii. Establishment of a learning and replication strategy	col		
Total Project Preparation Financing	72,727	120,000	192,727

D. FINANCING PLAN SUMMARY FOR PROJECT PREPARATION GRANT: (\$)

	Project Preparation	Agency Fee
GEF financing	72,727	7,273
Co-financing	120,000	
Total	192,727	7,273

E. PPG REQUESTED BY AGENCY(IES), FOCAL AREA(S) AND COUNTRY(IES)'

GEF		Country Name/	(in \$)			
Agency	Food Avoc	Global	PPG (a)	Agency Fee (b)	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Total} \\ c = a + b \end{array} $	
NA						
Total PPC	G Requested					

¹ No need to provide information for this table if it is a single focal area, single country and single GEF Agency project.

F. PPG BUDGET REQUEST

Cost Items	Total Estimated Person Weeks for GEF Grant (PW)	GEF (\$)	Co-financing (\$)	Total (\$)
Local consultants *	92	0	50,600	50,600
International consultants*	12	36,000	0	36,000
Travel	* * 111.	13,000	25,000	38,000
Activity coordination	Entransie		30,000	30,000
Consultation forums	And the second second	7,000	10,000	17,000
Information and logistics	Carlo Carlo	7,727	2,000	9,727
Miscellaneous**	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	9,000	2,400	11,400
Total PPG Budget	The state of the s	72,727	120,000	192,727

^{*} the Annex A for Consultant cost details should be prepared first before completing this table. See notes on Annex A for the required detailed information.

G. GEF AGENCY(IES) CERTIFICATION

This request has been prepared in accordance with GEF policies and procedures and meets the GEF criteria for project identification and preparation.

Agency Coordinator, Agency name	Signature	Date (Month, day, year)	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email Address
Yannick Glemarec Executive	Y. Glemarce	April 3, 2009	Lyes Ferroukhi Regional	+507-302- 4576	lyes.ferroukhi@undp.org

Coordinator, UNDP/GEF	Technical Advisor,	
	BD-LD	
	UNDP/GEF	

Annex A

Consultants Financed by the Project Preparation Grant (PPG)

Position/Titles	\$/ Person Week ¹	Estimated PWs ²	Tasks to be performed
Local			- Washington - Was
Institutional design and coordination specialists	550	16	 Describe and assess existing institutional framework; Identify institutional capacity gaps and needs for effective IAS control and management; Assess coordination mechanism and involvement of actors and propose a coordination system; Provide recommendations on institutional capacity building for effective implementation of IAS control and management; Contribute towards assessment of key barriers to IAS control and propose solutions Identify a set of outputs, activities and alternatives to be
			carried on to leverage the barriers and decrease pressure of IAS on Cuba's BD and ecosystems.
			• Evaluate cost, cost effectiveness and sustainability of proposed activities.
			 Provide recommendations for cost-effective IAS prevention and control;
			 Propose a set of indicators in relation to its specialist, and the corresponding baselines and targets;
Environmental law and policy specialists	550	12	 Collect and analyze information on existing policy, legal and regulatory framework for IAS prevention and management in Cuba;
			Identify deficiencies in the policy and legal framework and their implementation;
			Facilitate engagement and dialogue with legal experts across sectors, in enforcement agencies and the Judiciary
			 Recommend needs and modalities for the strengthening of existing legal and policy arrangements, and development of new coordination mechanisms in coordination with the institutional specialist if required;
			Contribute towards assessment of key barriers to IAS control and propose solutions
			• Identify a set of outputs, activities and alternatives to be carried on to leverage the barriers and decrease pressure of IAS on Cuba's BD and ecosystems.
			• Evaluate cost, cost effectiveness and sustainability of proposed activities.
			Provide recommendations for cost-effective IAS prevention and control;
			Propose a set of indicators in relation to its specialist, and the corresponding baselines and targets
Environmental economics and business specialists	550	20	Collect baseline data on key sectors, business and economic activities impacted by IAS
			Collate and analyze current spending on IAS control and management across sectors
			Conduct case studies of economic and business impacts for

	1	1	key IAS/sites
			 Evaluate the readiness to pay of the actors affected by IAS for a more efficient national IAS control system, and their interest in participating in the project.
			Review and share international experience, information and best practice on IAS costs and benefits, and on economic and financial measures to support IAS control
			Facilitate engagement and dialogue with corporate sector and financial/economic planners
			Contribute toward the design of financing mechanisms and market-based instruments for IAS control.
			Contribute toward assessment of key barriers to IAS control and propose solutions
			Work with the national environmental economics and business specialist to design appropriate financing mechanisms and market-based instruments for IAS control
			Participate in dialogues with corporate sector and financial/economic planners to present findings on the economic and financial impacts and linkages regarding IAS
			Oversee the design and implementation of valuation and cost analysis of IAS impacts at local, sectoral, national and global levels.
			Lead on the development of the cost-benefit analysis and cost- effectiveness analysis of key measures to control IAS.
	į		Identify a set of outputs, activities and alternatives to be carried on to leverage the barriers and decrease pressure of IAS
			on Cuba's BD and ecosystems.
			Propose a set of indicators in relation to its specialist, and the corresponding baselines and targets
Conservation biology/IAS specialists	550	24	Compile data and information on current priority IAS, their impact on global importance BD and on ecosystems
			Review and assess past, on-going, and planned initiatives related to IAS control
			Review and share international experience, information and best practices on IAS control and management
			Provide costing of prevention, control, management and removing mechanisms for priority sites and species
			Contribute towards assessment of key barriers to IAS control and propose solutions
			Propose a mechanism to monitor and assess the efficiency of the project regarding native BD protection and ecosystem preservation;
			Provide technical advice and backup to the selection of up to 6 priority areas for knowledge and best practice demonstration, with the active participation of a broad range of stakeholders
			Identify a set of outputs, activities and alternatives to be carried on to leverage the barriers and decrease pressure of IAS on Cuba's BD and ecosystems.
			Evaluate cost, cost effectiveness and sustainability of proposed activities.
			Provide recommendations for cost-effective IAS prevention and control;

			Propose a set of indicators in relation to its specialist, and the corresponding baselines and targets
Communications and organizational specialists	550	20	 Conduct stakeholder mapping of key agencies, actors and sectors impacting on/impacted by IAS, including civil society and productive sector. Determine and quantify stakeholder roles, responsibilities and impacts vis-à-vis IAS, and their potential role in implementing the project. Identify current awareness/capacities and specific communications/training gaps (for school, superior curricula, decision makers, civil society, etc.). Design specific communications strategy and training plan according to targeted audience. Propose coordination mechanism involving stakeholders and on-going and planned initiatives related to IAS control Contribute towards assessment of key barriers to IAS control and propose solutions Identify a set of outputs, activities and alternatives to be carried on to leverage the barriers and decrease pressure of IAS on Cuba's BD and ecosystems. Evaluate cost, cost effectiveness and sustainability of proposed activities. Provide recommendations for cost-effective IAS prevention and control Propose a set of indicators in relation to its specialist, and the corresponding baselines and targets
International Monitoring and evaluation specialist	3,000	12	 Based on the baseline information collected by local experts, identify specific opportunities and constraints for implementing efficient prevention, control and management procedures of IAS; Integrate international best practices into FSP design; Assess technical feasibility of recommended activities; Assess cost-effectiveness and incremental steps of proposed FSP activities; Assess sustainability of proposed FSP activities and provide recommendations for ensuring sustainability of project outcomes; Provide recommendations for capacity building and stakeholder engagement activities planned for the FSP; Define full project logical framework and a set of logframe indicators. Provide overall orientation to PPG team in relation to GEF logic and information requirements Develop incremental cost matrices Develop an M&E plan including baseline analysis, project indicators, methodologies and protocols for the collection and systematization of the information

Or person month, if applicable. Please indicate clearly.
Provide weeks or months as appropriate that corresponds to the rate provided in the previous column.

Total Budget and Work Plan

Award ID:	00057658
Award Title:	PIMS 3990 BD PPG Cuba Invasive Alien Species
Business Unit:	CUB 10
Project Title:	PIMS 3990 BD PPG Cuba: Enhancing the prevention, control, and management of Invasive Alien Species in vulnerable ecosystems in Cuba
Project ID	00071327
Implementing Partner (Executing Agency)	Ministry of Science. Technology and Environment (CITMA) / Center of Environmental Information. Management and Education (CIGEA)

GEF Outcome/Atlas Activity	Responsible Party/ Implementing Agent	Fund ID	Donor Name	Atlas Budgetary Account Code	ATLAS Budget Description	Amount 2009 (USD)	Amount 2010 (USD)	Total (USD)
OUTCOME 1:				71200	International Consultants	15,000	3,000	18,000
Baseline data collection,	A.C. GOMI	62000	GEF	71600	travel	5,000	1,000	000'9
information gap analysis necessary for	O'O'O			72100	Contractual services	6,000	2,000	8,000
elaborating an IAS control and				74500	miscellaneous	4,000	1,000	5,000
management strategy					Total Outcome 1	30,000	7,000	37,000
OUTCOME 2:				71200	International Consultants	900,9	2,000	8,000
Assessment of		62000	GEF	00912	travel	2,000	1,000	3,000
regulatory and legal framework for IAS	UNDP Cuba			72100	Contractual services	2,500	1,500	4,000
management and				74500	miscellaneous	1,500	200	2,000
		į			Total Outcome 2	12,000	5,000	17,000
OUTCOME 3:	UNDP Cuba	62000	GEF	71200	International Consultants	3,000	1,000	4,000
Enabling environment for a national IAS strategy				71600	travel	1,500	200	2,000

Summary of	Donor	Amount (in S)
Funds:		
	GEF	72,727
	Cuban Government	120,000
	TOTAL	192,727